

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/037,243	Applicant(s) FREIMUTH ET AL.
	Examiner Ramin (Ray) Akhavan	Art Unit 1636

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** 71(1) Ramin (Ray) Akhavan.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Christine Brackel.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 April 2005**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

61


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner inquired about ambiguity with respect to Remarks and claim listing, filed 01/25/2005, as to whether claim 61 is canceled or still pending. Ms. Brackel indicated she would review the application and contact the examiner in due course.

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)